

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,195	06/02/2005	Martin W. Beale	562492004400	3437
25226 MORRISON &	7590 01/29/2008 P FOERSTER I I P		EXAMINER .	
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 755 PAGE MILL RD			TRAN, PABLO N	
PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

A	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	10/537,195	BEALE, MARTIN W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Pablo N. Tran	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	/ IO OFT TO EVOIDE 4 A	AONTHION OR THIRTY (20) DAVE				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 No	ovember 2007.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-93</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	lestion requirement					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-93</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	ection requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression is a specific to be supported to be a specific to the correction of the corre						
	ammer. Note the attache	d Office Action of John F 10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau] -	Treesived in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	t received.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application				

10/537,195 Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-68 and 91-92, drawn to a base station and system having a method of allocating at least one time slot of the plurality of time slots in the frame to a user equipment.
 - II. Claims 11-16, drawn to an user equipment for receiving a signal from a base station directing the user equipment to at least one timeslot of the plurality of time slots.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination are separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination Group II has separate utility such as an user equipment for receiving a signal from a base station directing the user equipment to at least one timeslot of the plurality of time slots. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

3. Because these inventions are independent and distinct for the reason(s) given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown above by their

Application/Control Number:

10/537,195 Art Unit: 2618

6 a making

recognized divergent subject matter and a different field of search is required for each group (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper

- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of an invention or a species to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 5. The election of an invention or a species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 6. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 4

Conclusion

- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pablo Tran whose telephone number is (571)272-7898. The examiner normal hours are 9:30 -5:00 (Monday-Friday). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached at (571)272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.
- 9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) System. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-directauspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 21, 2008

PABLO N. TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

AN Eleis